UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/578,497	04/02/2007	Reiner Fischer	2400.0300000/VLC/CMB	1712
STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C. 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			CHU, YONG LIANG	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/23/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/578,497	FISCHER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	YONG CHU	1626				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Ju</u>	ne 2009					
, <u> </u>	action is non-final.					
<i>,</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-6,8,9,11-15 and 17-19</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6,9, 11-15, and 17-19</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-5 and 8</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-5 and 8</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		- - - - -				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Taper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 3-6, 8-9, 11-15 and 17-19 are pending. Claims 6, 9, 11-15 and 17-19 remain withdrawn as non-elected subject matter. Therefore, claims 3-5 and 8 are under examination on the merits.

Response to Amendment

The Amendment by Applicants' representative Ms. Cynthia M. Bouchez dated on 06/01/2009 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

Objection to the Specification

Applicants' argument regarding substituents D and A as a part of a ring has been fully considered, and is found persuasive. Therefore, the objection is withdrawn.

Claim rejection under 35 U.S.C.§112 2nd paragraph

Applicants' argument regarding substituents D and A as a part of a ring has been fully considered, and is found persuasive. Therefore, the rejection is withdrawn.

Claim Objection

The claim objection is maintained because the claims still contain non-elected subject matter. The instantly elected scope of invention was described in the previous Office action.

Claim rejection under 35 U.S.C.§103(a)

Applicants argument on the ground that the Office has failed to provide a rational as to why a person of ordinary skilled in the art would replace methyl with any other

Application/Control Number: 10/578,497

Art Unit: 1626

alkyl at the **Z** position has been full considered, but is found not persuasive. The previously cited `196 patent teaches pesticides and/or herbicides sharing the same core structure of the instantly claimed compounds. The only difference between the `196 compound and the instantly claimed compounds is at Z as a methyl group vs. an ethyl group, and they are homolog. The motivation for modifying the prior art teaching to the instant application was articulated at page 7 of the Office action.

Page 3

In addition, the 132 Declaration filed on 06/01/2009 by Dr. Heinz Kehne is also not sufficient to overcome the rejection for the following reasons: 1) the Declaration did not declare who created the testing data and how the data related to the instant application; 2) the Declaration was not filed by Dr. Heinz Kehne, because it used "he", not "I"; the compounds tested in the Declaration are the compounds wherein **X** is –Br, not –Cl as cited in the `196 compound, and no unexpected results has been demonstrated over the cited prior art compound. Therefore, the rejection is maintained.

Obvious-type Double Patenting Rejection

Applicants' argument on the ground that the claims of the `274 patent disclose thousand of compounds, and the Office has not provided a reason why a person of ordinary skilled in the art would choose a compound wherein **X** is chlorine or bromine, **Y** is methyl or ethyl and **Z** is ethyl or n-propyl as a lead compound from among the thousands of compounds recited by the `274 patent has been fully considered, but not persuasive. The claimed scope between the instant claims 3-5 and 8 and claims 1-4, 6 and 8 of the `274 patent are overlapped with the same core structure, and the

Application/Control Number: 10/578,497 Page 4

Art Unit: 1626

compound (CAS RN 186746-85-0)

disclosed in the '274 patent is a

homolog, which reads on the instant claims, wherein **Z** is -CH3, and renders the instant claims obvious (i.e. **Z** is -CH3 vs. –Et). See Page 8 of the previous Office action, and the analysis under 103(a) above. Therefore, the ODP rejection is maintained.

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/578,497 Page 5

Art Unit: 1626

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Telephone Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Yong Chu whose telephone number is 571-272-5759.

The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 am - 3:30 pm EST. If attempts to reach

the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph

 $M^{\underline{c}}$ Kane can be reached on 571-272-0699. The fax phone number for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Status information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from

the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

/Yong Chu/ Patent Examiner Art Unit 1626 /Kamal Saeed/ Primary Patent Examiner Art Unit 1626 Application/Control Number: 10/578,497

Page 6

Art Unit: 1626